## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

\* \* \*

In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Revisions to Docket No. 14-035-T14 Electric Service Schedule No. 193, Demand Side Management (DSM) Cost Adjustment

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT:

Public Service Commission

Hearing Room 403 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Thursday, January 29, 2015

TIME:

9:01 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Scott M. Knight, RPR

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
      THE HEARING OFFICER: JORDAN A. WHITE
 3
      FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
 4
      PATRICIA E. SCHMID, ESQ.,
      ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
 5
      160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor
      Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
 6
      FOR OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:
 7
      REX W. OLSEN, ESQ.,
 8
      ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
      160 East 300 South, Second Floor
 9
      Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
10
      FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:
11
      DANIEL E. SOLANDER, ESQ.,
      ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
12
      201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
      Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
13
14
                               INDEX
15
      WITNESS
                                                              Page
16
     ESTHER GIEZENDANNER
17
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
                                                            4
     BY MR. SOLANDER
18
     BRENDA SALTER
19
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
                                                            8
     BY MS. SCHMID
20
     GAVIN MANGELSON
21
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
                                                           13
     BY MR. OLSEN
22
23
24
25
```





## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go on the record. Good morning. This is the time and place noticed 3 for Commission consideration of Rocky Mountain Power's 4 5 proposed revisions to Electric Service Schedule No. 193, 6 demand-side management cost adjustment, in Docket No. 7 14-035-T14. My name is Jordan White. I'm acting as presiding officer for this hearing. We'll go ahead and take 8 9 appearances. We'll start over here with Mr. Olsen. MR. OLSEN: Thank you. Rex Olsen on behalf of the 10 11 Office of Consumer Services. And our witness will be Gavin 12 Mangelson. MS. SCHMID: Patricia E. Schmid with the Attorney 13 General's Office on behalf of the Division. And our witness 14 is Brenda Salter. 15 16 MR. SOLANDER: Good morning. Daniel Solander on 17 behalf of Rocky Mountain Power. I have with me at counsel 18 table Esther Giezendanner, manager of procurement and compliance, will be the Company's witness today. 19 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Before we proceed, are there any other matters of housekeeping that we need to attend to? 21 22 Okay. Since this is Rocky Mountain Power's 23 proposed tariff revisions, I'll go ahead and turn it over to 24 you Mr. Solander.

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180

MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Rocky Mountain Power

Page 3



25

1	would like to call Esther Giezendanner in support of the
2	Company's Schedule 193 rate adjustment.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead and raise your
4	right hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're
5	about to give will be the whole truth and nothing but the
6	truth?
7	MS. GIEZENDANNER: Yes.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9	ESTHER GIEZENDANNER,
10	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
11	follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SOLANDER:
14	Q Could you please state your name and business
15	address for the record?
16	A Esther Giezendanner, at 201 South Main Street,
17	20th Floor, at the One Utah Center, Salt Lake City, Utah.
18	Q And by whom are you employed and in what capacity?
19	A I'm employed by Rocky Mountain Power as the
20	demand-side management procurement and compliance
21	THE REPORTER: Could you speak up a little bit?
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is your mike on? There'sa
23	little green light should come up.
24	BY MR. SOLANDER:
25	Q And as part of your duties in that position, are



you responsible for preparing the Company's proposed 1 2 Schedule 193 rate adjustment? 3 Α Yes. 4 And did you also collaborate in filing the Q Company's reply comments in this docket? 5 6 Α Yes. And do you have a summary of the Company's 7 0 proposed adjustment, as well as the reply comments that we 8 9 filed in a revised advice letter that was filed on January 28th? 10 11 Yes. Α Please proceed. 12 Q 13 Α Thank you. Good morning, everyone--staff, Mr. White, 14 15 commission counsel, and other parties. On the--on December 16 31st, 2014, the Company filed Advice Letter 14-12 proposing 17 a two-step increase to Schedule 193, the demand-side 18 management surcharge collection rate. 19 The first step to the Company's proposal would increase the collection rate from 3.3 percent to 3.51 20 21 percent of customer bills effective February 1st of 2015. 22 The second step would increase the collection rate to 3.73 23 percent of customer bills effective January of 2016. 2.4 At the time of the filing, the Company estimated 25 this two-step increase would align projected expenditures

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



1.3

and DSM surcharge revenues by December 2016. Since the Company's initial filing, the November-December 2014 actual results were updated, which showed the December 31st, 2014, balance as undercollected by \$18.4 million. This represented approximately \$4.3 million higher than originally forecasted.

The Company met with the DSM steering committee to review this new information, and it was agreed by all members that the Company's recommendation in its initial filing would no longer be adequate to align projected expenditures and the surcharge revenues by December 2016.

All parties were supportive in proceeding with the alternative option of 3.62 percent, with an effective date of February 2015. At the request of the steering committee, the Company recalculated the alternative option by estimating a two-step increase of three-point--an estimated 3.94 percent to be effective January 1st of 2016. That was based on current forecasts. This revised alternative two-step approach would then bring the account in balance by the end of December 2016.

On January 21st, 2015, the Division of Public Utilities, Office of Consumer Services, and Utah Clean Energy filed comments to support—in support of this approach to the DSM surcharge. On January 28th, 2015, the Company filed Revised Advice Letter 14-12, with the





supporting exhibits, recommending the DSM surcharge be adjusted to 3.62 percent.

The Company's not seeking approval for a second step increase at this time. The steering committee is supportive of implementing the first step increase of 3.62 percent effective February 1st, 2015. The Company agreed to provide an updated DSM balance and account information at the next steering committee meeting. At that time a decision may be reached whether rates should be adjusted by midyear or if the second review in November would be adequate.

At this time, the Company believes the adjustment is in the public interest and is respectfully requesting approval to adjust the DSM surcharge to 3.62 percent with an effective date of February 1st, 2015.

- Q And does that conclude your summary?
- A Yes, it does.

MR. SOLANDER: Ms. Giezendanner is available for questions from the Commission or from the other parties.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to deal with-MR. SOLANDER: Yeah, we would move, then, the
admission of the Company's initial filing, including tariff
sheets, reply comments, and then the revised advice letter
as well.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections to receipt of

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



1	those?
2	They're received.
3	I'll turn to thedo you have any cross for Ms
4	is it Giezendanner?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, Giezendanner.
6	MS. SCHMID: No cross.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: And Mr. Olsen?
8	MR. OLSEN: No questions.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm going to have
10	I'll just kind of deal with questions that I have as a panel
11	after we're concluded with the presentation of witnesses.
12	Ms. Schmid.
13	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would like
14	to call Ms. Brenda Salter as its witness. May she please be
15	sworn?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
17	Go ahead and raise your right hand. Do you
18	solemnly swear that the testimony you're about to give will
19	be the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
20	MS. SALTER: Yes.
21	BRENDA SALTER,
22	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
23	follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. SCHMID:



1	Q Good morning. Could you please state your name,
2	position, and employer for the record?
3	A My name is Brenda Salterexcuse me. And I am
4	technical consultant with the Division of Public Utilities.
5	Q And your business address?
6	A It's 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
7	Q In conjunction with your employment by the
8	Division, have you participated on behalf of the Division in
9	this docket?
10	A I have, yes.
11	Q Have you participated in the preparation and
12	filing of the Division's action request response dated
13	January 21st, 2015?
14	A Yes, I have.
15	Q Do you have any changes or corrections that you
16	would like to make to that action response?
17	A I do not.
18	MS. SCHMID: The Division would like to move for
19	the admission of its action request response dated January
20	21st, 2015.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?
22	MR. SOLANDER: No objection.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's received.
24	MR. OLSEN: No objection.
25	BY MS. SCHMID:



1	Q Ms. Salter, do you have a summary?
2	A I do.
3	Q Please proceed.
4	A Good morning. As of December 31st, 2014, the
5	demand-side management balancing account is undercollected
6	by approximately \$18.4 million. In order to bring the DSM
7	balance account to zero, the Company is requesting a two-
8	step increase to the Schedule 193 surcharge collection rate.
9	The DSM steering committee, which the Division is a
10	participant, agreed during a conference call on January
11	13th, 2015, that the Schedule 193 surcharge collection rate
12	for 2015 be increased from the current customer bill rate of
13	3.3 percent to 3.62 percent. This is an annual increase of
14	\$4.56 to an average residential customer using approximately
15	eight-point8,276 kilowatt hours a year.
16	At the current program expense projections, the
17	second step would increase the Schedule 193 surcharge
18	collection rate to 3.94 percent with an effective date of
19	January 1st, 2016. On January 28th, 2015, the Company
20	updated its December 31st, 2014, filing to reflect the DSM
21	steering committee's recommended Schedule 193 surcharge rate
22	change.
23	As proposed by the Company, the DSM balancing
24	account will be reviewed in November 2015 to determine the
25	appropriate Schedule 193 surcharge collection rate



adjustment.

Also, the DSM balance account will be reviewed in the quarterly DSM steering committee meetings to determine if additional rate adjustments are required prior to the November 2015 review of the account.

The Division has analyzed the Company's filing, along with Company responses to various data requests, and also participated in numerous discussions with the Company and others. The Division recommends that the Commission approve the Schedule 193 rate change of 3.62 percent for the 2015 year with an effective date of February 1st, 2015.

Also, the Division has been concerned for some time now that the AFUDC rate for the DSM carrying charge is no longer--

THE REPORTER: Could you slow down a little bit? Just back up to that paragraph. Thanks.

THE WITNESS: That one? Okay.

THE REPORTER: Yeah. Thanks.

THE WITNESS: The Division has been concerned for some time now that the AFUDC rate for the DSM carrying charge is no longer the appropriate rate for an account with little to no risk of recovery. The Division will discuss with this it—this with the steering committee and, if warranted, pursue appropriate recommendations in future proceedings.

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



1	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
2	Ms. Salter is now available for cross-examination
3	and questions from Mr. White.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions from any
5	other parties?
6	I'll just go aheadlike I mentioned,
7	Ms. Giezendanner, I may have questions for the Office, the
8	Division, and the Company.
9	THE REPORTER: Sorry. I'm having a hard time
10	hearing you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry about that. I'll just
12	reserveI'll hold on for questions for now, but I may have
13	some questions for you at the end.
14	With that, Mr. Olsen?
15	MR. OLSEN: Thank you. We'd like to callthe
16	Office would like to call Gavin Mangelson, ask that he be
17	sworn.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead and raise your
19	right hand. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you're
20	about to give is the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
21	MR. MANGELSON: Yes.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23	GAVIN MANGELSON,
24	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
25	follows:



```
DIRECT EXAMINATION
 1
      BY MR. OLSEN:
 2
 3
               Mr. Mangelson, would you please give your--state
 4
      your name and--for the record?
               My name is Gavin Mangelson.
 5
          Α
 6
          Q
               And by whom are you employed and what is your--
 7
      what are your job duties?
               I'm employed by the Office of Consumer Services as
 8
          Α
 9
      a utility analyst.
               And what is the address of your work?
10
11
               160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
          Α
               As part of your work as an analyst, did you
12
      prepare comment for the proposed Electric Service Schedule
13
      193?
14
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               We would move that that be--dated January 21st,
      2015; is that correct?
17
18
          Α
               Correct.
19
                MR. OLSEN: We'd move at this time that that be
      admitted.
20
21
                THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?
22
                MR. SOLANDER: No objection.
23
                MS. SCHMID: No objection.
                THE HEARING OFFICER: It's received.
2.4
          BY MR. OLSEN:
25
```





1	Q Do youwere there any changes that you wish to
2	make to that? I'm sorry. I should have said that first.
3	A No changes.
4	Q Do you have a summary for the
5	A Yes.
6	QCourt?
7	Thank you.
8	A As stated in my filed comments, the Office
9	supports changing the Schedule 193 surcharge to the
10	requested rate of 3.62 percent and further requests that
11	this rate be allowed to be effective February 1, 2015. We
12	believe that these changes will result in just and
13	reasonable rates and will be in the public interest.
14	MR. OLSEN: Mr. Mangelson is now available for
15	questions or cross-examination.
16	MR. SOLANDER: No.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any questions?
18	MS. SCHMID: No questions.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: I guess it's a question
20	forfirst for Ms. Salter and then Mr. Mangelson. So just
21	to be clear, we're talking about that you had arecognize
22	that the revised advice letter filing was made yesterday
23	afternoon, it had basically updated tariff sheets. Has the
24	Divisionthe same question for the Officehad a chance to
25	review those and believe that those arecorrectly reflect





the proposed changes that you're approving? 1 MS. SALTER: I have reviewed them, yes, and they 2 do. The time I've had to look at them, yes. 3 MR. MANGELSON: Yes, we did have an opportunity 4 5 review those. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: The second question, I 7 quess, is: Does the Division have an opinion as to whether the actual prudence review of the program costs that are 8 9 being collected with this tariff occurs? Is this--in other 10 words, will this be in an annual report or--I guess I'm just 11 wondering if you have an opinion as to . . . MS. SALTER: Yes--well, yes, they--the numbers 12 will be in the annual report. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: And that's when the--I guess 14 15 the actual prudence--16 MS. SALTER: And then--THE HEARING OFFICER: --determination is made? 17 18 MS. SALTER: --once the report is filed next--19 well--yeah, we will be doing an audit of the account at that 20 time, so yeah. THE HEARING OFFICER: Does the Office have an 21 22 opinion as to kind of the prudence review or determination 23 of the actual--I mean, I understand this is the--24 MR. MANGELSON: Yes. The Office doesn't conduct 25 the same audits, but we do review the programs on a





1	case-by-case basis andfor the annual report, and have
2	submitted and will continue to submit our opinions on action
3	Commission should take as far as approval or disapproval of
4	any programs.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's all the
6	questions I have. Are there any other matters before we
7	take a quick recess?
8	I'm assuming that you're going to ask for a bench
9	ruling
10	MR. SOLANDER: Read my mind. That was what I was
11	about to say, yes.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Because the requested
13	effective date is February 1st.
14	MR. SOLANDER: Correct. Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. If you'll allow me to
16	go off the record, take a quick recess.
17	(Recess taken, 9:15-9:18 a.m.)
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go ahead and go back
19	on the record.
20	Appreciate everyone's participation today. And
21	having considered PacifiCorp's proposed revisions to
22	Schedule 193 as revised and filed on January 28, 2015, the
23	comments filed in this docket and testimony presented today,
24	and the fact that PacifiCorp's request is unopposed, the
25	Commission finds the approval of the proposed revisions to



1	Schedule 193 as filed with the Commission on January 28,
2	2015, are just, reasonable, and in the public interest;
3	concludes that such approval is consistent with relevant
4	statutes, rules, and Commission orders; and therefore, the
5	Commission approves PacifiCorp's proposed revisions of
6	Schedule 193 as filed on January 28th, 2015. This bench
7	order has been approved and confirmed by the Commission and
8	the written memorialization of this decision will be filed.
9	Just another matter of housekeeping: Based upon
10	the questions to the Division and Office regarding, you
11	know, the review of the actual tariff sheets, the tariff
12	sheets themselves are approved, so we don't need to go
13	through the practice of having those filed and having actual
14	requests. Those are approved also. The effective date of
15	theseof these revisions in the tariff sheets is February
16	1st, 2015, if I failed to mention that earlier.
17	Before Iwe adjourn, is there any other matters
18	that need to be addressed before the Commission?
19	MR. SOLANDER: No, thank you.
20	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, everyone.
22	(Proceedings concluded at 9:20 a.m.)
23	
24	
25	





CERTIFICATE 1 2 This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered 3 Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State 4 5 of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah; 6 That the proceedings were reported by me in 7 stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct 8 transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed 9 is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive. 10 11 I further certify that I am not of kin or 12 otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event 13 thereof. 14 15 16 17 Scott M. Knight, RPR Utah License No. 110171-7801 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



